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Abstract. In the context of the INTEGRA project, compilation and code generation features for behavior definition are to be integrated in an existing model-based engineering environment for control systems. The devised compiler architecture is domain-specific and provides support for multiple input languages and multiple target platforms. In this paper we discuss an architectural approach in which the compiling process is organized in two different stages: the compiling stage and the linking stage. The compiling stage generates target independent code from possibly multiple input languages. The linking stage assembles pre-compiled code modules and generates a target specific executable code for a given virtual machine. To be more specific this paper describes the integration of the ST language in the tool core meta-model and the ST compiler is presented as an application case study.
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1. Introduction

The INTEGRA [8] project is an industrial R&D project developed by EFACEC in collaboration with REN¹ and some universities, such as UP² and UM³. This project involves the development of a prototype for a command, control and protection system for substations based on the new standard protocol for communications.

The main objectives of the INTEGRA project were: to evaluate the application of international standards in substation automation systems; to confirm interoperability between devices from different manufacturers; to develop embedded real-time devices for substation automation systems; and

¹ REN – Rede Eléctrica Nacional, is responsible for the uninterrupted supply of electricity and natural gas in Portugal.
² UP – University of Porto, Faculty of Engineering
³ UM – University of Minho
to integrate engineering tools. The project also includes a demonstration system installed in a transmission substation near Lisbon.

The purpose of the project described in this paper, developed in the context of the INTEGRA project, is to integrate compilation and code generation features for behavior definition in an existing model-based engineering. This computer aided engineering tool, shown in fig. 1, is a specific graphical toolset aimed at integrated configuration and management of distributed control systems for power systems automation.

![Fig. 1. The user interface of the engineering tool](image)

In order to maximize user productivity the development and compilation tools are to be seamlessly integrated within the core meta-model on which the toolset is based and within the engineering environment itself. The compiler architecture to be built should lend itself to support multiple input languages and multiple target platforms in the same environment.

Traditionally a compiler is a standalone, non-interactive (batch), program that takes as input a program, written in an High-Level Programming Language, and generates as output another program with the same meaning but written in a Machine-Level (low level) Programming Language (usually Assembly or even ByteCode).

To accomplish its task, a traditional compiler is decomposed into two main blocks [6, 7]: the first one, the front-end (FE), is responsible for the analysis of the source text and the construction of an Internal, or Intermediate
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Representation (IR) carrying on the program's meaning; and the second one, the back-end (BE), takes that IR and generates the final machine code.

Moreover, the FE is itself structured in three layers: the lexical analyzer, the syntactic analyzer, and the semantic analyzer.

In a classic approach, the compiler is automatically generated as a whole by a tool called compilers-compiler, or compiler-generator, that takes the grammar (a translation grammar, or an attribute grammar) of the source language and writes the code for the FE and BE of the desired compiler (specified by that grammar).

So, a classic compiler processes one source language, and generates (without interacting with other programs or with the user) code for one target machine.

In the context of INTEGRA project, discussed along the paper, we are interested in producing modules for the analysis or code-generation tasks that interact with the other modules already implemented in the platform, complying with pre-defined interfaces.

In the scope of this paper the adoption of ST Language, as described in IEC 61131-3 International Standard [2] and the integration of a ST Compiler in the environment is discussed and presented as a case study for the approach above referred.

Section 2 presents the application domain. In section 3 the language meta-model on which the engineering environment is based is briefly described. In section 4 the ST language and its integration is presented. In section 5 our proposed compiler architecture is described in detail and in section 6 the compiler implemented in the INTEGRA project is briefly described. Section 7 presents the main conclusions of this work.

2. Application Domain

This work is to be applied in engineering of distributed control systems for power systems automation. This application domain includes industrial control systems targeted at, but not limited to, (i) distribution and transmission substations, (ii) power stations (hydro, wind, etc.) and (iii) distribution networks. In such industrial systems the control, automation and protection functions are implemented in real-time autonomous systems involving cooperating intelligent electronic devices with physical process interface, various communication interfaces and user interface. The typical architecture is characterized by functional levels within a control hierarchy with mostly vertical information flows between levels and peer-to-peer communication at each control level.

From a behavioral point of view these are soft real-time and event-driven systems. Each device in the system runs both *firmware* and/or user code which is characterized by boolean logic or more complex algorithms which are run periodically or on-event (in response to external events, data changes or time-based events). Program space state and temporal response is usually
ensured by program design and programming languages tend to be strongly typed and to limit code constructions such as recursion or involving dynamic memory allocation.

3. ASML at a Glance

The Automation System Modeling Language [9] (ASML) is a key element of the engineering environment via which specific device and system models are set-up, validated and deployed by the control system engineer.

Through this language it is possible to describe complete device or system configurations including, but not limited to: (i) functionally-oriented control system object models, including input and output status, measurands, settings and controllable data, (ii) dynamic control system behavior, (iii) diagrammatical interactive user interface\(^4\), (iv) device hardware, (v) device local process interface, (vi) communication interfaces, (vii) data logging, etc.

The ASML definition is based on the OMG [10] four-layered meta-modeling infrastructure. In fact, the abstract syntax of the language is defined as an M2 [10] model, including static validation rules, and formally defined in a M3 [10] proprietary meta-meta-modeling language, similar to KM3 [11] or Ecore [12]. Several software components of the engineering toolset are generated by custom code generators using the ASML meta-model as input, as is the case of some graphical editors and model-checkers. The ASML itself is not a complete language since it lacks a specific concrete syntax. Device or system models are created and customized by the end-user with a set of text, graphical and diagrammatical editors.

Functional design within ASML should incorporate function decomposition in atomic units, behavior encapsulation with interface definition via inputs and outputs, executable algorithmic definitions and function allocation to devices.

Since the ASML is based in international standards, the languages selected for behavior definitions are to be compatible with the IEC 61131-3 standard [1], focused on programming languages for automation systems.

4. ST Language

The IEC 61313-3 [2, 3] is an international standard which describes programming languages, both textual and graphical, for programmable controller software.

The language introduced in this paper is a textual language called Structured Text (ST), which is a high level language, similar to Pascal, Ada or C.

\(^4\) To be displayed from small LCDs to full-featured standard computers.
The standard defines about twenty elementary data:

- BOOL;
- SINT, USINT, INT, UINT, DINT, UDINT, LINT, ULINT (signed and unsigned integer data types);
- REAL, LREAL (floating point data types);
- TIME, DATE, TIME_OF_DAY, DATE_AND_TIME (time handling data types);
- BYTE, WORD, DWORD, LWORD, STRING, WSTRING;

Derived data types, such as structures, arrays and enumerations, can also be defined.

The language establishes three kind of program organization units (POU): functions, function blocks and programs. Functions are conventional procedures with parameters and return values; function blocks include both procedure and data which may be kept between invocations. The main difference between functions and function blocks is that function produce the same result if called with the same arguments and functions blocks contain both code and data which persists between invocations.

A program is a network of functions and function blocks, which is able to access external data, such as physical input/output of the programmable controller device. These units are run periodically or upon the occurrence of specific events.

Expressions in ST are typical expressions built from operators, variable/constant access and other conventional constructs which, when evaluated, yield a value corresponding to one specific data type.

Four types of statements are available in ST:

- assignments;
- conditional branches (if and case);
- loops (for, while, repeat and until);
- function block invocations;

The invocation of a function consists of the function name followed by a list of arguments. The list of arguments can take two different forms: the \textit{formal argument list} or the \textit{non-formal argument list}. In the \textit{formal argument list}, the arguments list has the form of a set of assignments of actual values to the formal argument names. In the \textit{non-formal argument list}, the argument list shall contain exactly the same number of arguments, in the exactly order as given in the function definition.

Function blocks shall be called by a statement which consist of the name of the function block instance followed by a formal or non-formal list of arguments.
To exemplify the formal and the non-formal differences in invocations consider the MinMax function block shown in fig. 2. After declaring the variable minMaxInst as an instance of function block MinMax, the next two statements in ST language are equivalents and would produce the same output values:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minMaxInst}(0, 6, 4); \\
\text{minMaxInst(IN3 := 4, IN2 := 6);}
\end{align*}
\]

4.1. Integration of the ST Language in the ASML Meta-model

The conditions, actions and procedures associated with functions and behavioral elements are described in ASML according to a meta-model adapted from IEC 61131-3 Structured Text [2]. Behavior units are defined as POUs which can be written in any supported language. The data typing meta-model of the ASML is common to both behavior definition and other purposes, namely for communication interface definition.

A simplified UML class diagram of the ASML meta-model is shown in fig. 3.
To support encapsulation and reuse of complete system behavior the ASML provides automation elements called Function Classes. A function class encapsulates an internal structure, algorithms and defines an external interface via data inputs, outputs and settings. The external interface of these classes may be defined according to user/design requirements and existing or future international standards of object models for power systems automation. Logical node classes may represent internal device behavior, communication interfaces, process interface or programmable behavior.

The ASML defines device functional structure by instantiating function classes and allocating instances in different processing units (Resources) in the physical device. Interactions between Functions and/or physical input/output of the device are defined by input/output Associations.

A small example of an ASML model is shown in fig. 4. In this example a Logical Node Class implementing a simplified alarm grouping is presented.

Fig. 4. Small example of an ASML model
5. Compiler Architecture

To facilitate reuse of definitions some ASML language meta-classes, such as POUIs, may be defined in libraries. Libraries contain POU external interface and pre-compiled target independent code. Therefore behavior implementation is hidden allowing some level of intellectual property protection.

5.1. Compiler Overview

The compiling process, illustrated in fig. 5, is organized in two different stages: the compiling stage and the linking stage.

![Fig. 5. The compiling process](image)

The compiling stage illustrated in fig. 6, the compiling stage overview, is responsible to generate ObjectCode, which is target and language independent code.

![Fig. 6. The Compiling Stage Overview](image)

The compiler receives an ASML model to compile and generates an ObjectCode module containing both pre-compiled code and additional information for the linking stage.
The linking stage, illustrated in fig. 7, generates target specific executable code, called *ByteCode*, by assembling and translating pre-compiled code included in one or more *ObjectCode* modules.

![Fig. 7. The Linking Stage Overview](image)

The Linker effectively generates an executable code file for a specific device. Hence the linker also receives additional data such as the device configuration and target definitions defined in the source ASML model.

The *ByteCode* is generated according to both the device configuration, omitting unnecessary code, and the platform definition. Thus, the *ByteCode* is both target specific and platform dependent.

*ByteCode* files may be deployed to the corresponding device and executed by a *Virtual Machine* or through other device specific means. A platform independent virtual machine is also available for device integration and direct execution of the generated *ByteCode* which is not analyzed in this paper.

### 5.2. Parser, Semantic Validator and Object Code Generator

The translation-scheme adopted to develop the compiler presented in this paper was a semantic-directed translation. With this translation-scheme each compiler component has one specific job in the compilation process. The compiling stage is organized in three components: the *Parser*, the *Semantic Validator* and the *Object Code Generator*, as shown in fig. 8.

With this architecture other programming languages can be easily plugged by simply implementing a parser which analyses that specific language and generates the same IR. No other components would, in principle, require modification.
The **Parser** receives the POU body and instantiates the compiler **intermediate representation** if the POU body is syntactically correct.

After constructing the **intermediate representation** all information needed for the semantic validation and translation process is produced and the source data may be discarded.

The **semantic analyzer** is responsible to check if the **intermediate representation** of the POU body is semantically correct. The most relevant semantic validations take place in variables, expressions and invocations:

- Each variable has a specific data type and optionally an initial value, therefore is necessary to validate if variable data type and initial value type are the same. When a variable is used in a statement it is necessary to validate if the variable is accessible for the requested operation and if it is visible in the POU context.
- To validate an expression is necessary that all the operator and operand data types are compatible.
- To validate a function or function block invocation is necessary to (i) validate if the POU identifier is defined in the current ASML or in libraries, (ii) check if the invocation is allowed, for example, functions can not call function blocks, (iii) validate if the number of parameters are correct and (iv) check if the arguments data types are compatibles with the POU interface.

Once validated, the **intermediate representation** of all POUs defined in an ASML source can feed **ObjectCode generator**. The **Object Code Generator** is responsible to generate a list of instructions accordingly to the POU **intermediate representation**.

The **Object Code Generator** traverses the **intermediate representation** and generates a list of instructions for a stack based virtual machine.
5.3. Linker and Assembler

The linking stage is organized in two components: the Linker and the Assembler, as shown in fig. 9.

![Linker Architecture](image)

Fig. 9. Linker Architecture.

The first task of the linker is to determine which procedures (programs, functions and function blocks) must be included in the ByteCode. Then, all these procedures are included in a single ObjectCode module. If one or more procedures cannot be found the linking stage is aborted and an error is reported.

From this virtual ObjectCode module ByteCode can be generated accordingly to some target Definitions. These Definitions describe the device and the target platform, such as, byte order (little or big endian), unsupported data types and other relevant target restrictions or configuration.

The Assembler is responsible to convert that virtual ObjectCode into a target specific ByteCode. Essentially, the Assembler job is to resolve instructions references (cross-references, references to variables, references to POUs, etc.) and index resulting references to code or data area accordingly to target variable size and instruction size.

After defining these positions/indexes it is possible to resolve instruction references. The cross-references are replaced by the referenced instruction, references to variables are translated into the variable position into the data area and finally a reference to a POU is replaced into the position of its first instruction.
At last, this ByteCode is serialized into a binary file which can be directly interpreted (analyzed and executed) by, for example, a virtual machine.\(^5\)

To exemplify the compiling process presented in this paper, fig. 10 contains an example of a program that counts the number of times that a circuit breaker opened. The open operation is detected by invoquing a standard function block, the rising edge detector. Fig. 10 shows the intermediate representation and the list of instructions generated for the if statement.

**Structured Text**

```
R_TRIG(Pos.stVal <> Dbpos.ON);
IF R_TRIG.Q
THEN
  OpCnt.stVal := OpCnt.stVal + 1;
END_IF;
```

**Intermediate Representation**

```
IF
Condition
ThenStatement[0]
```

```
FBVarRef
FBlntance
FBVariable
Variable
Expression
```

```
R_TRIG
Q
OpCnt.stVal
```

```
Assignment
Addition
```

```
OpCnt.stVal
1
```

```
```

**Instruction List**

```
(...)
PUSHG_SI R_TRIG.Q
JZ
PUSHG_DI OpCnt.stVal
ADD_DI
STOREG_DI OpCnt.stVal
(...)
```

Fig. 10. Example of compiling process outputs.

### 6. Compiler Implementation

To assist the implementation of the ST Parser (Lexical Analyzer and Syntactical Analyzer) we used ANTLR [4]. ANTLR, ANother Tool for Language Recognition, is a language tool that provides a framework for constructing recognizers, compilers and translators from grammatical descriptions containing actions in a variety of target languages. To preserve the technology adopted by the INTEGRA project, the selected language was the C# [5].

Since the IEC 61131-3 [2] defines a context-free grammar for the ST language, to build the ST Parser it was necessary to adapt the standard grammar to fit the ANTLR syntax.

---

\(^5\) Code generation for Motorola and Intel based platforms was demonstrated.
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The meta-model of the Structured Text language described in the ASML was developed in a Meta-Modeling Framework from EFACEC, the Developer’s Workbench Modeler. This Meta-Modeling Framework was developed in order to enhance software development productivity. Through this framework meta-models may be defined, validated and C# code may be generated according to some generation rules. The ST Parser instantiates the intermediate representation of each POU body according to the ST meta-model defined in the ASML.

Through the Developer’s Workbench Modeler it is also possible to specify a set of model validation rules and generate a semantic validator. The semantic analyzer was implemented using this approach.

The back-end of the compiler, the ObjectCode Generator, the Linker and the Assembler were implemented in C#.

7. Results and Conclusions

In this paper we discussed a compiler architecture that supports multiple input languages and multiple target platforms. The integration of the textual language ST, described in the IEC 61131-3, in a model based engineering environment for control systems was presented and the ST Compiler as an application case study for the desired compiler architecture was described.

To simplify the generation of a target specific executable code the compiling process was organized in two stages: the compiling stage and the linking stage. The compiling stage adopts semantic-directed translation methodology and generates target and language independent code that can be used to generate any target/platform specific code. The linking/assembly stage generates executable code according to the target device configuration and platform definitions, being therefore independent of the source languages used.

It is also important to emphasize that the presented compiler architecture simplifies reuse, provides behavior encapsulation and makes use of library inclusion in the engineering environment.

The implementation illustrated in this paper was applied in an on-site control system pilot project effectively meeting the requirements of the INTEGRA project. Results of the project have also met sufficient quality criteria and are expected to be revised and incorporated in standard industrial products.
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