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Abstract. A lot of data generated on the game server causes overtime in IoT environment. Recently, both researchers and developers have developed great interests in load balancing schemes in gaming servers. The existing literature have proposed algorithms that distribute loads in servers by mostly concentrating on load balancing and cooperative offloading in Internet of Things (IoT) environment. The dynamic load balancing algorithms have applied a technique of calculating the workload of the network and dynamically allocating the workload according to the network situation, taking into account the capacity of the servers. However, the various previous researches proposed are difficult to reflect the real world by imposing a lot of restrictions and assumptions on the IoT environment, and it is not enough to meet the wide range of service requirements for the IoT environment. Therefore, we proposed an agent that applies a deep reinforced learning method to distribute loads for gaming servers. The agent has accomplished this by measuring network loads and analyzing a large amount of user data. We specifically have chosen deep reinforcement learning because no labels would need to be obtained in advance and it enabled our agent to immediately make the right decisions to load balancing in IoT environment. We have showed several significant functions of our proposed scheme and derived through mathematical analysis. Also, we have compared performances of our proposed scheme and a previous research, ProGreGA, widely used scheme through simulation.
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1. Introduction

Recently, much study has been done applying load balancing to network [1]. Maintaining balanced workloads benefits the cloud service provider by increasing their resources utilization, eliminating the performance bottlenecks, and improving the quality of services to their customers. Load balancing schemes have been widely adopted by distributed servers and their effectiveness is of importance to the quality of services provided by such servers.

Load balancing has been studied using various approaches [2][3][4]. Centralized solutions are computationally extensive, require much information exchange overhead. To
overcome these limitations, decentralized approaches have been proposed in citeRef4, and a distributed algorithms are used to solve it. However, most of these studies impose many restrictions and assumptions the networks that often do not apply in realistic networks [5]. Reinforcement Learning (RL) is a learning algorithm of decision the action to be performed in the learning system for maximizing reward to the action [6,7]. Due to the RL methods’ advantages, it has been largely discussed in developing load balancing problems. In [8], RL was implemented for distributed load balancing in IoT network.

Therefore, we proposed a load balancing scheme applying RL method in IoT network. We address the key functions for the proposed scheme and simulate its efficiency using mathematical analysis.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives the previous researches related to open load balancing and reinforcement learning. In Section 3, we describes the detailed load balancing scheme of ours. In section 4 we describe the experimental results and show that the proposed scheme can effectively improve the performance for gaming server in IoT environment. In the final section, we constitute a summary of our proposal and suggest further study directions in IoT environment.

2. Related Works

The contributions of IoT depend on the increased value of information created by the number of interconnections among things and the subsequent transformation of processed information into knowledge for the benefit of society. Various researches use a clustering algorithm to utilize contextual information. In [9,10], the authors proposed a subvariance method based on neural regulation filtering by applying context information clustering and latent function learning fuzzy theory. After they have investigated similar neighbors of users and similar neighbors of services. When the clustering result is ready to learn the latent function of contextual information, join the potential node to the cluster [11].

Load balancing mechanisms are widely used in a distributed computing environment to balance the workloads on different servers, and the effectiveness of such mechanisms is critical to the overall performance and service quality. Load balancing can distribute workload across multiple entities to achieve optimal utilization, maximize throughput, minimize response time, and avoid overload. A lot of research has been done on how to design an effective load balancing such as in [12,13,14].

Deep learning has been applied to a many fields such as speech recognition, computer vision, natural language processing, social network filtering and bioinformatics. Deep learning is also applied when adopting multiple layers of nonlinear processing units for feature extraction and transformation [15]. The effect on deep learning can be guaranteed to be a universal approximation theorem, since this theory can be represented as a small subset of continuous functions in a feedforward network with a single hidden layer containing a finite number of neurons [16,17,18].

In [19] the authors have proposed a method that modeled a new neighbor feature learning method as a matrix by combining the advantages of a neighbor-based method, a model-based method, and a method based on deep learning. The proposed method was able to achieve high accuracy in neighborhood selection even with high data scarcity, and was able to learn deep features. The learning systems they limit use a learning convolutional neural network to learn deep learning from the selected neighbor’s cell record, and
also learn the relationship with the features of the target user or target service.

The RL is different from supervised learning in that it doesn’t need input/output pairs. This focuses on performance, which involves finding the balance between explorations. This learning system creates \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \) actions to interact with the environment. These actions affect the environmental condition, and as a result, the RL system receives scalar rewards \( r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n \). The goal of this learning system is to learn how to act in a way that maximizes future rewards through learning. The RL approaches store the results of interaction with the past environment and find the optimal policy for repetitive learning [20].

RL could be applied as a method for making optimal decisions. The agent for this has taken into account the environment. At every step, the agent has taken action and receives observations and rewards. RL algorithms have tried to consider a given, previously unknown environment. RL made choices to maximize rewards in each stage of learning, and learned the policy to find the maximum reward value by repeating the steps. They have been applied to many different fields. The policy optimization method used the policy of each step to map the agent’s state to the next action and learns by reflecting the result value in the next step. These methods showed RL as a numerical optimization method. We could optimize the expected rewards for an efficient learning system in relation to the parameters of the policy.

The challenges herein are to consider a priori how many interactions are important to learn a specific task and what exact features should be extracted. Deep neural networks are the quintessential technique for automatic feature extraction in reinforcement learning [21][22]. Also, various previous researches in load balancing had not effectively taken into account the rapidly increased event and uncertainly status for gaming server in IoT network.

Therefore, we proposed a load balancing scheme applying reinforcement learning in order to efficient load balancing in IoT environment.

### 3. Proposed Scheme

#### 3.1. System Configuration

![Conceptual Diagram of Reinforcement Learning](image-url)
RL is one of a machine learning used to automate goal learning and decision making. Fig. 1 has been shown to the concept of RL method applied to the our scheme in this paper. When the agent in the proposed system received input, in the current state $s$, the agent performs the corresponding action $a$. As the result, the reward value $r$ has provided. Based on the reward value of $r$, the learning system transmitted to the new state $s'$ and the agent processed again as $a'$. Depending on its current rewards and status, the reinforcement system has chosen the next action based on a policy that increases the likelihood of agent positive rewards. The goal of RL agents is to maximize the total rewards received from the proposed system to find the optimized policy.

### 3.2. Network Load Learning Algorithm

The RL algorithms are generally applied to obtain optimal results by adjusting the motions in observed state of discontinuous and low-dimensional motions [23]. However, along with the development of computing capacity and deep learning, a new algorithm called Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) has appeared. In order to model complex nonlinear relationships such as IoT networks, we have applied RL to map the structure of the network load. In IoT networks, load balancing is only achieved in a small local area, so the network area is divided into several smaller areas based on the zone. Our proposed load balancing scheme is by system configuration applying DRL algorithm for distributed static load balancing of gaming server. For the mathematical modeling of our proposed scheme, we have used the following algorithm. The Variables used to model our proposed scheme have shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters Descriptions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>base station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>nodes of user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_j$</td>
<td>power of base station, $j$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>noise power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_0$</td>
<td>start time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_j$</td>
<td>reward of $j$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>zone area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$</td>
<td>weight of the node or server or cell)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_j$</td>
<td>state of $j$ node in IoT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\pi$</td>
<td>map states</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>control value for learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>learning rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>action space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As each base station generally have been served a large number of user nodes, the important metric for network performance has the rate of service speed, not Signal to Interference Plus Noise Ratio (SINR) [24,25]. The rate of service speed being experienced
by the user node depends on the network load. We have defined the service speed rate as $R_{ij}$ and the achievable rate as $c_{jj}$ for independent of channel qualities. Let $B$ be the set of base stations with more than one node in network and that share resources and $N$ be the node of users. Let $P_j$ be a power of base station $j$ and $\sigma^2$ be a noise power level and $G_{ij}$ be a channel gain between $i$ node and $j$ base station. Therefore, $\sum_{k \in B, k \neq j} P_k G_{ik}$ has shown the interferences in the network. Let $t_0$ be a start time and $t$ as a present time and $\tau(t_0 \leq \tau \leq t)$ as a variable respresenting time, respectively. Let $F_{ij}(t)$ be a fraction time of resource that is the base station $j$ servers node $i$ and $H_{ij}$ be a long term service rate. Let $x_{ij}\tau$ be a scheduling indicator.

$$c_{ij} = \log_2(1 + \text{SINR}_{ij} = \log_2(1 + \frac{P_j G_{ij}}{\sum_{k \in B, k \neq j} P_k G_{ik} + \sigma^2})) \tag{1}$$

$$H_{ij}(t) = F_{ij}(t) \int_{t_0}^{t} x_{ij}(\tau)C_{ij}(\tau)d(\tau) \tag{2}$$

For efficient load balancing, the larger the value of $\sum_{j \in B} \sum_{i \in N} H_{ij}(t)$ and the smaller the variance of user’s service rate $H_{ij}(t)$ should be. We have derived the results by constructing an estimator of our system using importance sampling in a large and continuous state. Our scheme have allowed to make decisions for each process according to a learned policy without wasting time for complex calculations. It is also possible to determine the optimal action based on the reward value of each phase without accurate information on the reward value or probability value of all environments. The agent of ours has learned to output the desired result value by input using present input and output data sets. Our network load learning algorithm has calculated and stored the current network load and learned the result value effectively.

Let $r_j$ be denote the reward of a phase $j$, $S$ be indicate the status, and $A$ be denote the behavior. Therefore, the $S_j$ is the phase in $j$ where $j$ is the station. Given each phase, $s$, it maps directly to the determined action $a$. Every $a \in A(s)$ has a probability distribution or could be deterministic $\pi(s)$. The policy for load balancing, that is the action determined by the state $s$ or stochastic $\pi(a \mid s)$. In order to achieve efficient load balancing, RL has applied in our system, and a $\pi$ policy has developed to select possible behaviors in each phase and map behavior to state that improve $\pi$ to be optimal. Load balancing policies could be either stochastic $\pi(a \mid s)$, which given a state $s$, each action $a \in A(s)$ is
a probability distribution, or deterministic $\pi(s)$, that has mapped a state, $s$, to a determined action, $a$. We have calculated the reward of base station $j$ as $r_j$.

$$r_j = \frac{1}{\sum_i S_j} \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\mid V \mid} \sum_{l=1}^{\mid V \mid} R_{ik} \right)$$

Let $AL_{ij}(t)$ be the allocation priority of node $i$ at the base station $j$ and $C_{ij}$ is broadcast at achievable rate.

$$AL_{ij}(t) = \frac{C_{ij}(t)}{R_{ij}(t-1)}$$

We have $p_i$ to represent the probabilistic policy $\pi: S \times A \leftarrow [0, 1]$, and the expected discount compensation for $\eta (\pi)$ to indicate. We have considered the policy $\pi_\theta(a|s)$ with parameter vector $\theta$, and we have used function of $\theta$ rather than overloaded $\pi$. $L_\theta(\hat{\pi}) \equiv L_v(\hat{\pi})$ and $D_{KL}(\pi_\theta \parallel \hat{\pi}) \equiv D_{KL}(\pi_\theta \parallel \pi_\theta)$. We used $\theta_{old}$ as a parameter to improve the previous policy in our scheme. We have sampled $s_0 \sim \rho_0$ and simulated the $\pi_\theta$ policy. Then, following these trajectories of $s_1, s_2, ..., s_m$, have selected a subset of $N$ states.

$$L_m(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{k} \pi_\theta(a_k \mid s_m) \hat{Q}(s_m, a_k)$$

We have generated for every possible action in the state of that phase given in each of the phase. In our scheme, the agent have processed the $a_{m,k}$ action as $K$ behavior in each phase state, $s_m$, as represented $a_{m,1}, a_{m,2}, ..., a_{m,k}$. The results obtained in each phase have represented as $L_{\theta_{old}}$. We have estimated $L_{\theta_{old}}$ from the expectation and gradient of $s_n \sim \rho(\pi)$ for $L_{\theta_{old}}$.

$$L_m(\theta) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{k} \pi_\theta(a_{m,k} \mid s_m) \hat{Q}(s_m, a_{m,k})}{\sum_{k=1}^{k} \pi_{\theta_{old}}(a_{m,k} \mid s_m)}$$

4. Performance Analysis

4.1. Simulation

In this study, we have used ML-agents library from Unity3D to simulate the load balancing agent for gaming server applying our proposed scheme at Section 3. The learning is processed by the repeated cycle of sending variables by Tensor-flow which are collected from learning environments created by Unity3D and sending back results which are learned from Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) algorithms, one of the RL. We have set the hidden layer of neural network to 3 and the node of a hidden layer to 256 as refered in [27,28]. Also, we have set the size of batch to 512 and the $\beta$ value to control the entropy to $\log_\beta$. In addition, we have set the learning step to 5 million steps for our simulation environment.
Fig. 2. Initial Simulation environment

It consists of a two-dimensional map of the game world, each of that contained a Finite State Machine (FSM) in the place of 750 gaming users. The weight of each bot is reset to 1. We have assumed that there are 8 servers and used policy that load balancing agent learned, to disperse the load. Fig. 2(a) is shown our environment of simulation.

For analysis of load balancing result, we have differentiated each server by color as shown in Fig. 2(b). Each rectangle represents a cell, and a group of areas is defined as an area, and a group of the areas is defined as a world. Each server is given an area.

The game world is made up of 15×15 grid world and has 225 cells which are allocated with 8 servers. User load is occurred by activating 750 users, all which are processed by FSM. Also, server capacity is defined as i×20000 and i is a value between 1 to 8. Therefore, we have assumed the capacity of a server 1, 2, and 3 as 20000, 40000, and 160000. Fig. 2(b) is shown the initial state.

4.2. Performance Analysis

In the experiment, a standard that we have set are as follow: 1) The weight of 750 users has been set as 1
2) After defining the weight, we set a number of users between 500 to 1000
3) After defining the number of users, we multiply the weight by a value between 0.5 to 2

It was showed in [28] that the ProGreGA algorithm has numerous advantages compared to other load-balancing algorithms such as BFBC, Kernighan-Lin, and Ahmed such as fewer walk migrations, minimized overhead, and the maintenance of the maximum possible number of cells when rebalancing, resulting in the ProGRReGA algorithm having the most efficient all the simulated algorithms.
Algorithm 2 ProGReGA Load Balancing Algorithm

1: initiate $Weight_{Division}$, $Capacity$
2: for each zone $z$ in zone list $Z$ do
3: \hspace{1em} $Weight_{Division} = Weight_{Division} + W_z(Z)$
4: \hspace{1em} $Capacity = Capacity + Y(S_Z)$
5: end for
6: sort zone list in decreasing $Y(S_Z)$
7: for each zone $z$ in zone list $Z$ do
8: \hspace{1em} $Weight = Weight_{Division} \times \frac{Capacity}{Weight_{Division}}$
9: \hspace{1em} while $W_z(Z) \leq Weight$ do
10: \hspace{2em} if any cell from $Z$
11: \hspace{3em} $Z = Z \cup$ with heighest cell in the zone
12: \hspace{2em} else
13: \hspace{3em} $Z \cup$ the cell
14: \hspace{2em} end while
15: end for

Therefore we will compare our proposed scheme only with the ProGReGA algorithm. The Algorithm 2 is shown the ProGReGA methods as in [28], and we have experiment based on 2 to simulate the ProGReGA.

![Diagram](image)

**Fig. 3.** Result of load balancing in simulation environment

In the propose scheme, the average fragmented cells occurred is 2 or 3 times more than that in the ProGReGA. A result of 100 experiments is sorted in ascending order of fragmented cells and divided it in three to indicate which are the worst, average, and best results.
We have put a criterion that user one load interacts with all users, and to confirm the result of balancing, we have dispersed the load in a way that is not affected by the previous load balancing result. To check the process of dispersion, we have set a color for each server and alter the color of the dispersed cell to the corresponding server color. We have selected a scenario that where each user randomly selects bearing and move to that direction.

When an agent chooses a cell that hasn’t been selected before, it gets +1 as a reward, otherwise, it gets -0.5 as a reward. In addition, if it selects a cell which is not near the cell it is currently located, it gets -0.1 as a reward. Thus, when one episode ends, we add up the reward it got and additionally add it with the value of the standard deviation of dispersed server usage, multiply with 10. It means that as usage standard deviation decrease, distribution of usage is constant, i.e., usage of all server is distributed equally.

The Fig.3(a) and Fig.3(b) are shown the result of the load balancing of our proposed scheme and previous scheme, respectively.

The experimental result of a model that has been learned through our scheme shows 75% increase in its performance compared with the previous research, ProGreGA. The occurrence aspect of the conditional fragmented cell are shown no much difference from ours. However, it could be realized that the occurrence rate for the fragmented cell is too much and change in the occurrence rate changed drastically.

As shown in Fig.4 our proposed scheme have showed better performance than previous research, ProGreGA. The conditional fragment cell of model that has been learned through the same condition is shown as a graph in Fig.5.
Fig. 4. Result of allocation and fragment cells of server
Also, our proposed scheme have shown no significant change in the occurrence rate in case of average and worst at the simulation as shown Fig 6(b) and Fig. 6(c). As shown the Fig 6(a), our proposed scheme have shown no rapid change in the occurrence rate just like in average result.
Fig. 6. Result of fragment cell after load balancing each of gaming server
We have simulated 100 experiments on load balancing under various conditions, and the results have been shown in Fig. 7. The more fragmented cells that are not allocated to servers for load balancing, the lower the performance. The reason why the number of occurrences of the fragmented group is important because servers are assigned based on the group unit.

![Average cell by each of conditions](image)

**Fig. 7.** Result of 100 execution fragment cell by conditions

5. Conclusion and future works

In this study, we have proposed an agent that applies a deep reinforced learning method to distribute static loads for gaming servers. We have addressed several key functions of our proposed scheme and derived the efficiency of ours through mathematical analysis. The agent has been accomplished this by measuring network loads and analyzed the large amount of user data and network loads, all with the aforementioned DRL.

We have used ML-agents library from Unity3D to simulate the load balancing agent for gaming server applying our proposed scheme. The learning was processed by the repeated cycle of sending variables by Tensor-flow collected from learning environments created by Unity3D and sending back results that are learned from Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) algorithms, one of the reinforced learning. We have simulated 100 experiments on load balancing under various conditions, where, the more fragmented cells not allocated to servers for load balancing, the lower the performance. The number of occurrences of the fragmented group is important because servers are assigned based on the group unit. We compared the performance of the ProGreGA algorithm which was shown to be the most efficient among the previous research as in [28] with our proposed scheme by running mathematical modeling and simulations. The simulation result of a model learned through our scheme has been shown 75% increase in its performance compared with the ProGreGA. The occurrence aspect of the conditional fragmented cell has been shown no much difference from ours. However, the occurrence rate for the fragmented
cell was too much and the occurrence rate changed drastically. Our proposed scheme have shown the efficiency of load balancing and it is required further works reflect real world in network.

In the future, we intend to evaluate performances by collecting data from applying the proposed scheme in the real world, such as in game servers and blockchain platforms. In addition, we would analyze the collected data and analyze performance through various deep learning algorithms.
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